

DUNCAN Ben

From: DUNCAN Ben
Sent: Thursday, 25 March 2010 12:41
To: s47F
 FPWG@ad.gov.au; s47F
 s47F
Cc: s47F
 s47F WILLIAMS Gareth; MAJOR Sarah
Subject: RE: Submission of Commonwealth papers to SOG/MCPMEP
 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Security Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi s47F

As currently drafted, I just have a concern about structure of recommendation 8.

I understand the marking requirements contained in the Firearms Protocol require that all firearms must be stamped or marked on import in to Australia (I believe with a unique identifying mark and the year of entry). This is something that has massive implications for both government and industry which have not yet been assessed by the relevant policy agency or even agreed to by the Commonwealth. I have raised this issue directly with as a major concern of Customs with other relevant Commonwealth agencies.

AGD is aware of these concerns and my understanding is that they support our views on this particular element of the firearms marking and tracing requirements (AGD could you indicate you agreement with this claim?).

Please could the recommendation be amended so that it is clear that the marking requirements to which it refers is not intended to cover the Protocols marking of firearms on import. This might be achieved by amending the recommendation as follows:

That jurisdictions introduce legislation that requires the serial number stamping or marking of firearms **manufactured in Australia to be in compliance with the UN Protocol.**

Kind regards
 Ben

s22(1)(a)(ii)

26/09/2011

RELEASED UNDER THE FOI ACT 1982

THOMSON Matthew

From: WILLIAMS Gareth
Sent: Monday, 22 March 2010 10:01
To: ^{s47F} [REDACTED] DUNCAN Ben
Cc: JUDZEWITSCH Michael; ^{s47F} [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: MCPEMP-SOG NFMS Business case closure paper.
[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Attachments: MCPEMP NFMS Business Case Closure_April'10_v1 0.doc
Security Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

^{s47F} [REDACTED]

Customs and Border Protection are OK with the notes regarding the firearms export verification alternative action.

We would like to remove the paragraph relating to ongoing work on weapons imports as this has no relation to the firearms export verification process.

I have highlighted the paragraph in the attachment.

Regards Gareth

Gareth Williams | Manager Firearms & Weapons | Australian Customs and Border Protection Service
Customs House, 5 Constitution Ave, Canberra City ACT 2601
P: (02) 6275 5873 | F: (02) 6275 6699 | M: 0414 652 475
E: gareth.williams@customs.gov.au | W: www.customs.gov.au

Important: The advice in this e-mail is for information only and is not binding on Customs & Border Protection as there may be insufficient information to base a decision. If this item is imported the Customs & Border Protection Officer that receives the item for clearance will make the decision as to whether or not the requirements of the Regulations are satisfied.

^{s22(1)(a)(i)} [REDACTED]

THOMSON Matthew

From: DUNCAN Ben
Sent: Tuesday, 26 July 2011 13:13
To: s47F [redacted] WILLIAMS Gareth; ATHERTON Julie; O'SHEA Tracey; THOMSON Matthew
Subject: FW: ACTION REQUIRED: Draft Resolutions for Clearance and Next Meeting [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Importance: High
Attachments: DRAFT Resolutions.DOC
Security Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

s47F [redacted]
These look OK to me.
Ben



s22(1)(a)(ii)

DUNCAN Ben

From: DUNCAN Ben
Sent: Thursday, 16 July 2009 12:13
To: 'FPWG'
Subject: RE: Draft FPWG/NFMM minutes [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]
Security Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Hi s47F

They look good to me (although can I ask that we are referred to as "Customs and Border Protection" in the Minutes).

Cheers
Ben

s22(1)(a)(ii)

